

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,806	01/21/2005	William B. O'Neal	3165-114	3769	
6449 ROTHWELL	7590 09/10/200 FIGG, ERNST & MAN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			HOLT, ANDRIAE M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1616			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/521,806	O'NEAL ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andriae M. Holt	1616		

	Andriae M. Holt	1616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 8/21/08 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire te Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period counter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 	t prior to the data of Elina a brief		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	ΓE below);	
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		,	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.9.18 and 25-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	the face and the date of Classes No.		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/John Pak/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1616	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The data provide of is limited to compound A + #b-atazine. The data provide is limited to omly one amount proportion (40.201250). The claims provide no proprional limits. The examiner cannot determine if testing at one specific ratio will give an indication that all other ratios and proportions would similarly produce unexpected synergism. In addition, the examiner cannot determine if the result of the only tested triazine, atrain, would be indicative of the result with a different triazine such as prosulfuron and hexazinone; both of which whose structures are too different from the structure of the tested atrazine. Again, one of ordinary skill in the art would not expect data with atrazine to be indicative of misimal unexpected synergism with hexazinone and and prosulfuron because the structures are too different. The claims remain rejected for the reasons of record.